

(Confidential)

Report of Counseling Services about PBI Evaluation Case of Ms Majiri Gautum B.Tech (CSE)

We have discussed extensively the case with Dean(A), PBI-chairman and Supervisor Dr Bajpayee and Dr. Prabir Mukhopadya and also with Ms Majiri Gautam

Ms Manjiri started working for PBI under supervision of Dr Prabir but then she decided to work under Dr Bajpayee as CSE student

The claim of Ms Manjiri that PBI evaluation for the part under the supervision of Dr Prabir was not taken into account and the name of the supervisor was also not changed on web portal for submission of grades till the end of PBI and then Dr Bajpayee was asked to submit all the evaluations in the hard copy by PBI chairman (at one go!) which may not be fair, which is main cause of confusion

The response of Dr Bajpayee is also very surprising and disturbing, It seems that he was not in position to justify on his own the no of stages of PBI evaluations he has handled, without discussing with PBI-Chairman (Prof Ms Tanjuja) and Dean (A)! His baffled response and insensitivity about the issue is really cause of concern and also creates doubt! (Attached the email correspondence with Dr Bajpayee)


In addition to this Ms Manjiri Gautum's was forced to drop one course by academic office due overall CGPA, without offering her any guarantee about the summer course. In this case she will be forced to suffer and extend her stay on campus by one more semester!


She is strongly feeling that she has not been evaluated properly in case of PBI-Project and even the Dr Prabir who allowed her to shift work with Dr Bajpayee also mentions and agrees with the unfortunate situation created and was ready to evaluate her for the portion he handled!

This is a very doubtful and unfortunate situation and for student this is very stressful and may spoil her career too!

We propose/recommend to allow her to credit the course which was dropped by the academic office as a special case and allow her to complete the degree within specified time period and also enquire about the doubtful evaluation by her Supervisors

Dean, Acad:

Pl take note of the above -

25/1/17


- 25/1/17
Prof. Kondekar Pravin N
Head, Counselling Services

Uitash

pt. give the detail of Cam dropped.

31/1/17

The following courses are dropped

- ① HS 203 - Arts and aesthetics (3rd sem)
- ② HS 303 - soft skills and the use of english language. (6th sem)
- ③ MS 302 - Management EMFs (8th sem)

31/1/17

She ~~is~~ is recommended to opt the emfs.
A floated against MS 302 as a special case. Also it is recommended that the case will not be taken as precedence for similar cases in the future.

1/2/17

Chairperson Senate

A. Appal

02/2/17

1675
01/02/17

PBI case

4 messages

Prof. Kondekar P.N <pnkondekar@iiitdmj.ac.in>
To: mkbajpai mkbajpai <mkbajpai@iiitdmj.ac.in>
Bcc: Pramod Kumar Jain <pkjain123@gmail.com>

Mon, Jan 23, 2017 at 4:53 PM

Dear Dr Bajpai ji

It is surprising that you mentioned that you will not reply the mail if it is not sent from Counselling Head! In fact, you should have replied the mail wrote about your concern instead of opting for not to reply! This is a serious matter hence pl reply, and the mail is now addressed by Head Counselling!

Pl let us know the total evaluations you have carried out since the portal was not showing the correct name of supervisor to Students Ms Manjiri It seems that you have sent evaluations only after Midterm

Pl look into this matter and let us know the reality

NB: pl donot discuss this matter with Dean or PBI chairman for reply! Just I wish to know your own views!

Regards
Pnk

--

Dr. Kondekar Pravin N
Head Counselling
Professor (ECE)
IIITDM Jabalpur
Mob 9425805445

mkbajpai mkbajpai <mkbajpai@iiitdmj.ac.in>
To: "Prof. Kondekar P.N" <pnkondekar@iiitdmj.ac.in>

Mon, Jan 23, 2017 at 5:43 PM

Dear Sir,

I am not able to understand that why you are saying that "NB: pl donot discuss this matter with Dean or PBI chairman for reply! Just I wish to know your own views!".

This statement creates lot of confusion as the mail received previously was from Dean P & D email Id and it says the above statement. it seems someone wants academic related information which not to be disclosed to academic office.

Sorry, if you have got hurt by any of my action or words in written or in verbal form. My intention was not that.

As this matter is related to Academic and specially with PBI, all the information about all the incidents either at initial level or at mid or end level are in written form and are available with Dean (Acad) as well as with the PBI chairman. these clarifications should be sought from these officials only. I have also got one letter from Dean (acad) office regarding the same. Hence, I am not able to understand the confusion from both the side. Hence, it is requested to you to seek detailed information from aforesaid officials.

As I have received this mail from Head Counselling, I want to tell you that I have given the grades to both the students in hard copy not by the PBI website. both the students have got the grades which is appropriate to their work.

Thanks and Regards,

[Quoted text hidden]

Prof. Kondekar P.N <pnkondekar@iiitdmj.ac.in>
To: mkbajpai mkbajpai <mkbajpai@iiitdmj.ac.in>

Mon, Jan 23, 2017 at 5:46 PM

We need your views only (unbiased) as a supervisor
[Quoted text hidden]

Prof. Kondekar P.N <pnkondekar@iiitdmj.ac.in>
To: mkbajpai mkbajpai <mkbajpai@iiitdmj.ac.in>

Mon, Jan 23, 2017 at 10:37 PM

You just need to Reply as a Supervisor what stages of evaluation you have completed and you are unnecessarily complicating the matter! You are not supposed to direct me to seek info from Academic or PBI chairman
We have already done that and as a Supervisor you just reply my query! Hope you will now Reply!

Regards
Pnk
[Quoted text hidden]

Finally he didnot reply about the Specific query but instead said every thing is known to PBI-Chairman and Dean (A) and he is not in position to answer this query in writing. Which is doubtful situation
Please consider my recommendation on operation in attached letter on 9 Feb post.

Monda
26/01/2016

Swapnali Gadekar
Dy Registrar (Academic)

IIITDMI/DR (Acad.)/2017/01/1555
January 09, 2017

To,
Ms. Manjari Gautam
Roll No. 2013120/ B.Tech. (CSE)
PDPM IIITDM Jabalpur

Sub: Reg. PBI Grades,

Dear Ms. Manjari Gautam,

Please refer to your letter dated January 03, 2017 regarding above purpose. In this regard, you are hereby informed that grades were rightly assigned as per the work for the PBI topic "Image Denaising by Mesh free analysis".

This is for your information.



(Swapnali Gadekar)

स्वप्नली गडेकर / Mrs S D GADEKAR
उप कुलसचिव (शैक्षणिक) / Deputy Registrar (Acad.)
पीडीएम-आई आई टी डी.एम. जबलपुर (म.प्र.)
PDPM-IIITDM, Jabalpur (M.P.)

- CC:
1. Dean Academic
 2. Chairman PBI
 3. Head CSE
 4. Dr Manish Kr Bajpai, PBI Supervisor
 4. Personal file of the Institute

Manjari
20/13/20

To;

The Dean Academic
IIITDM Jabalpur
Madhya Pradesh

Kindly give your comments

Dr. Manish
K. Bajpai

Pañi
03/01/17

Through: Head/CSE

Subject: Regarding PBI Grades

in my opinion grades are sufficient
but if authority want I can reduce.

Respected ma'am,

~~Pañi~~
03/01/17

we have done our PBI under Prof. M.K. Bajpai
and our topic of PBI is "Image Denoising By Mesh
free analysis". we had done our project task as
per suggestions and guidance of our supervisor and
submit our report in the PBI Portal. we are not satisfied
happy with our grades which we get in PBI.
we are submitting this application to take
action regarding our problem.

Thank You;

Manjari Gautam [2013120]
Vandana Gupta [2013228]

Date 3/January/2017

As per recommendation of
supervisor rd Chemmanur
senate, the evaluation of
project and grades awarded
as per work, so no need
further process is required.

~~Pañi~~
6/1/17
DKM

Prof. give your comment
about the claim of the student
Chemmanur PBI
In view of problems with PBI portal
changes could not be done but students
were reporting to Dr. Manish from the
start of their PBI. PBI received
all marks from Dr. Manish only
He told me that Dr. Manish were
rightly assigned as per work
done. ~~Pañi~~
5/1/17
Man (a.c)

130
3-01-17

1587
5/1/17

To,

Director
IIITDM Jabalpur
Madhya Pradesh

Subject:- Regarding PBI related issue, not satisfied with evaluation Procedure and Grades which we were getting.

Respected Sir,

I had already mailed you regarding this matter on 7th January 2017. I want to draw your attention towards my problem which happened because of the negligence of the academic ^{staff} management. Here I am explaining point to point -

- i) I did Internal PBI under supervision of Prof. M.K. Bajpai and I got 6.2 SPI for this PBI project. but me and my group member were not satisfied with those grades, so we discussed with our supervisor regarding our grades, because he never pointed out "our work was not up to the mark (or) not satisfactory or any thing disappointing about our work, when ever we discussed our progress with him.
- ii) On the same day, our supervisor said, now I have given you the grades. I can't do anything. If you are not satisfied with your grades, you can approach the higher authorities regarding the same.
- iii) as we were very disappointed regarding our grades' because in every meeting with the supervisor, he always said, your progress is Good. do further. so we were expecting a good pointer. atleast 8 or up to 8. so me and my group mate met to the PBI chair person regarding the same.
- iv) The PBI chairperson said, I got your mail and replied you back. she also told us that, your supervisor mailed her, at very last of november, to informing that both we were [manjari, and vandana] are not registered under his supervision and regarding this in the PBI Portal, the information was not updated, and he was unable to access our reports which we submitted in the Portal, he was also unable to upload our marks. Then our confusion "on what basis he had given us grades.

481
16/01/17

- v) at the same time we informing to the chair person of PBI that initially our supervisor were Prof. Prabin sir and Prof. Atul Gupta but we decided the work in PBI together and our supervisor was out of station, so changed our supervisor and regarding this submitted our application to the Academic office but the information was not updated till 14th November 2016.
- vi) Regarding changing our supervisor and topic, we given two handwritten applications, 2 application forms signed by HOD and current supervisor, also mailed to the academic staff of Academic office.
- vii) And I also want to bring your notice on this, if our supervisor couldn't access our interims, midterm and end term reports then he should have informed us regarding it. whenever we met him to show progress of our PBI project, but he haven't.
- viii) At the discussion of prof. M.K. Bajpai, informed me [Majari] that he not marked me for ^{know} interim 1, interim 2 and for mid-sem, and also said I don't ^{know} from where you get the marks for that.
- after this we also met to Prof. Prabin sir who was my initial supervisor and according to the portal I was under his supervision till november, 14th. he inform us that he never marked us.
- ix) After this we also met Prof. Prabin Kondekar, who is head counsellor. he ~~try~~ tried to helped me out.

These are the main points that I want to bring to your notice and other than these there are also some points, which I want to discuss in person. I am facing this problem and fighting against it from 29th December 2016 to till date.

And due to this I am undergoing a lot of mental and physical stress roaming around the cabin of the respective faculties and the academic staff, who

is affecting my studies and health.

If our PBI work is not evaluated genuinely and if we are given the same grades i.e. 6.2 SPI, in that condition I have to drop an EMF course of 4 credits for which I have to wait for an year to complete it, mean it may create a reason for degree extension, and as this SPI had affected my SPI badly.

Sir, please give us your appointment to discuss this issue in detail and to arrive to a conclusion as soon as possible because we are being stressed out due to this issue and I have to appear for GATE 2017 and my academic classes.

Thank You,

Your sincerely

i) Manjari Gautam
[2013120], CSE

ii) Vandana Gupta
[2013228], CSE

Date 16/01/2017

Dean, Acad

PL look into the issue and
reply back.

Manjari
17/1/17



The case was checked
from the supervisor and
Chairman PBI, it is
observed that the grades
given are as per the students
performance.

(Recommendation of
Supervisor and PBI Chairman
attached)
Director
17/1/17

1610
19/01/17